

Using Technology to Perform SCADA Point to Point Validations

Brianne LaBry, Advanced Analytics Team Leader
Chevron Pipe Line Company (CPL)



SCADA Project Commissioning – Why?



- (c) **Provide adequate information.** Each operator must provide its controllers with the information, tools, processes and procedures necessary for the controllers to carry out the roles and responsibilities the operator has defined by performing each of the following:
- (1) Implement sections 1, 4, 8, 9, 11.1, and 11.3 of API RP 1165 (incorporated by reference, see § 192.7) whenever a SCADA system is added, expanded or replaced, unless the operator demonstrates that certain provisions of sections 1, 4, 8, 9, 11.1, and 11.3 of API RP 1165 are not practical for the SCADA system used;
 - (2) Conduct a point-to-point verification between SCADA displays and related field equipment when field equipment is added or moved and when other changes that affect pipeline safety are made to field equipment or SCADA displays;**
 - (3) Test and verify an internal communication plan to provide adequate means for manual operation of the pipeline safely, at least once each calendar year, but at intervals not to exceed 15 months;
 - (4) Test any backup SCADA systems at least once each calendar year, but at intervals not to exceed 15 months; and
 - (5) Establish and implement procedures for when a different controller assumes responsibility, including the content of information to be exchanged.

Implementation deadline for this paragraph is amended to August 1, 2012, for sections (c)(1)–(4).

SCADA Project Commissioning – When?



- Any time new telemetered or calculated information is added to the SCADA system, as well as when existing information is modified
- Changes that affect pipeline safety are made to field equipment or SCADA displays, including calibration or replacement of a **safety device**
- When console directly requests database or display changes that affect **safety-related points**, as defined during an Alarm Objective Analysis (AOA)

CPL's Definition of 'Safety-Related'



| Safety Related Devices (analog or discrete) | Safety Related Alarms |
|--|---|
| | PLM Threshold / Leak Detection Alarm |
| Relief Valve | Relief Alarm / Flow to Flare |
| Over Pressure Device | Shutdown / Lockout (top level) |
| Tank Level Device | Tank / Sump Level Alarms |
| Hazardous Gas Detector | Hazardous Gas Alarms |
| | Safety/Environmental Alarms identified in AOA as major or severe consequence |

Alarm Severity Determination – Impact Matrix



| MTR Class | No Consequence | Minor / Moderate | Major | Severe |
|------------------------------------|----------------|--|---|--|
| Personnel (Safety) | No Injury | First aid or slight health effect. No disability or lost time recordable. | Lost time recordable or reversible health effect. No disability. | Lost time, permanent disability, severe injury, or loss of life. |
| Public or Environmental | No Effect | Minimal exposure. Release does not cross fence line. Source eliminated. Negligible financial consequences. | Visible/indicated flaring event. Public exposed to hazards. Medical aid or damage claims. Environmental contamination causing non-permanent damage. | Uncontained release of materials with major environmental and 3 rd party impact. Public exposed to life threatening hazards, disruption of services, property damage. Extensive clean up. |
| Repair Cost and/or Downtime | No Loss | < \$10k or ~1 hour lost production | \$10k-\$100k or ~½ day lost production | Cost > \$100k or ~1 day lost production |

SCADA Project Commissioning – How?



- Project opportunity identified and Management of Change (MOC) generated
- Commissioning request sent to Field Control Systems & SCADA via Sharepoint site (~2 weeks in advance)
- Remote Information Form (RIF) and Functional Specification (FS) completed and attached to Sharepoint list entry
- RIF review meeting with Field I&E Technicians, Field Control Systems, Operations Representative, and SCADA to review project scope, drawings, SCADA tags, setpoints, alarm limits, leak detection, project timelines, etc.
- Begin project implementation & SCADA preparation
- Schedule point-to-point verification in Sharepoint list/calendar
- Conduct point-to-point verification (commissioning) and preliminary Alarm Objective Analysis (AOA)

Commissioning List



Project Name Commission Date Project Scope

Resources (

Project Status : Assigned (57)

Project Status : Completed (406)

Category : Add/Modify Points (116)

Corinne Pump
Station NWCP
AOA

9/27/2011

NWCP AOA

Banta OMNI
Upgrade

3/17/2011

Upgrade Banta OMNI
Rev 22.71

Commission List - Banta OMNI Upgrade

View

| | | |
|--------|--------------------|-----------|
| | Version History | Alert Me |
| | Manage Permissions | Workflows |
| | Delete Item | |
| Manage | Actions | |

| | |
|------------------|--|
| Project Name | Banta OMNI Upgrade |
| Requestor | Asendorf, Charles (ASEC) (CAsendorf) |
| Category | Add/Modify Points |
| Project Scope | Upgrade Banta OMNI from a OMNI 3000 REV 20.71 to a OMNI 6000 Rev 22.71 |
| Charge Code | PWREM 02197-100 |
| MOC # | M2010369-001 |
| Field Start Date | 3/17/2011 |
| Target Date | 3/9/2011 |
| Commission Date | 3/17/2011 |
| Calc End Date | 3/18/2011 12:00 AM |
| Project Status | Completed |
| AOR | WCCP |
| Asset | CA |
| Field Team | CA - Los Medanos |
| P/L System | WCCP - BAPL |
| RTU | BA1 - BANTA TERMINAL OMNI |
| Resources | FCST; Op Rep; Field I&E Tech; Elec Engr; SCADA |

SCADA Project Commissioning – What?



- Use the Remote Information Form (RIF) to verify and document point configuration
 - The value and status of the data itself
 - The physical location of the instrument or device
 - Alarm set points and alarm descriptions
 - Control functionality or sequences with related devices or equipment
- Verify points on all associated graphics
 - Should test new points from both graphical and tabular displays to ensure correct attach points
 - For display only changes, can verify graphical change against known tabular values without full test to end device
- Preferable to actually operate the field device, but if doing so could potentially disrupt operations, then other industry-accepted techniques may be used
 - Simulation techniques include driving an input from the field, forcing an output from a transmitter, forcing a signal inside the cabinet, or writing manual values in the PLC or flow computer

Remote Information Form (RIF)



| ANALOG INPUTS | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|----------|---|--------------|------------------|----------------|---|------|---------|---------|-----------------|
| REGISTER % R | P&ID TAG | INSTRUMENT DESCRIPTION | ENG UNITS | # OF DECIMALS | TYPE I or F | Safety Related | CARD | CHANNEL | POINT # | OASYS NAME |
| FCS | FCS | FCS | FCS | FCS | FCS | FCS | FCS | FCS | SCADA | SCADA |
| 1 | PT1900 | DISCHARGE PRESSURE at SHIP SHOAL 208F | PSI | 2 | Float | | | 1505 | | S8F_ST_PRS_DIS_ |
| 2 | PIT1000 | INSTRUMENT AIR PRESS at SHIP SHOAL 208F | PSI | 2 | Float | | | 1507 | | S8F_ST_INSTAIR |
| 3 | PIT3000 | SS266 Pipe Line Pressure at SHIP SHOAL 208F | PSI | 2 | Float | ADA Safety / Environmental Pressure Alarm | | 1509 | | S8F_ST_PRSS266 |

| Tested Values | Commissioning Status | Remote Data Source | Remote Data Source %M Bit | Remote Data Comm. Bit | LO SCADA ALARM | HI SCADA ALARM | ROC SCADA ALARM | Alarm Tested | ASSOCIATED DISPLAY NAME |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|
| SCADA | | FCS | FCS | SCADA | OPREP | OPREP | OPREP | SCADA | SCADA |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| CHANGE NAME TO S8F_ST_PRS_S266 | | | | | | | | | |

SCADA Project Commissioning – Where?



- Collect CRMP evidence/documentation of point testing
 - SCADA marks RIF and/or SCADA graphic with test values , alarm limits, setpoints, etc.
 - Scan copy of final RIF and/or graphic and attach to Sharepoint list entry
 - Use Sharepoint workflow to send final document to those who participated in commissioning (I&E technician, Field Control Systems Rep, Operations Rep, etc.)
 - Participants use automated email to electronically approve final documentation
 - Documents with approval history and dates preserved electronically in Sharepoint for audit purposes
- For instrument calibrations, field personnel calls controller directly
 - Calibration is record in Controller Logbook
 - SCADA Event Summary is used as recorded value and evidence of test

Commissioning Evidence



LPGC Files

<\\BOCNTDFS1.BOC.CHEVRONTEXACO.NET\SHARE\CPL\CSC I&E - MidContinent\Texas>

New Upload Actions Settings View: **FCST**

| Type | Name | P/L System | RTU | FCST Vendor | FCST Equipment | Modified | Content Type | Verify Commissioning |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|------------|----------------------|-------------|----------------|--------------------|--------------|----------------------|
| Field Team : MID - Sour Lake (6) | | | | | | | | |
| Field Team : MID - West Texas (9) | | | | | | | | |
| P/L System : (9) | | | | | | | | |
| | ABL CSO | | ABL - ABILENE LPG | | | 8/24/2011 12:14 PM | CSO | |
| | ABL RIF | | ABL - ABILENE LPG | | | 8/24/2011 12:16 PM | RIF | In Progress |
| | ABL PLC !NEW | | ABL - ABILENE LPG | GE | PLC | 8/24/2011 12:18 PM | Program | |
| | MAB FS | | MAB - MABEE METER | | | 8/24/2011 12:16 PM | FS | |

Tasks

The following tasks have been assigned to the participants in this workflow. Click a task to edit it. You can also view these tasks in the list [Workflow Tasks](#).

| Assigned To | Title | Due Date | Status | Outcome |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------|-------------|---------|
| Labry, Brianne E. (BrienneLabry) | Please approve ABL RIF !NEW | 9/1/2011 | Not Started | |
| McKinney, William Z | Please approve ABL RIF !NEW | 9/1/2011 | Not Started | |

Measurement and Verification



- Annual review of processes and procedures
- Periodically sampling the resulting documentation / evidence for completeness
- For display only changes, the revision history of recently modified graphics will be reviewed by using data in the 'TortoiseCVS' tool
- Evaluate leading/lagging measures:
 - # number of RIF review meetings held 2 weeks prior to commissioning (leading)
 - # of points added/modified (leading)
 - # of evidence packages verified for completeness (leading)
 - How long takes evidence to be approved (leading)
 - # of projects completed (lagging)
 - # of display only requests (lagging)
 - # of projects with attached CRMP evidence (lagging)



Appendix



Alarm Objective Analysis (AOA)



- Methodically work through each individual SCADA point:
 - What could cause this condition?
 - What is the controller's corrective action(s)?
 - Will several alarms indicate the same condition?
 - Will this alarm make sense for all modes of operation (startup, shutdown, and normal)?
 - Should this alarm be suppressed when another alarm is annunciated?
 - Does this alarm match the criteria for a critical alarm?
- Use matrix to determine appropriate alarm severity
- Document all alarm parameters in the AOA database
- Configure custom 'safety' flag in SCADA database
- Review distribution of alarm severities

AOA Determination - Alarm Impact Matrix



| MTR Class | No Consequence | Minor / Moderate | Major | Severe |
|------------------------------------|----------------|--|---|--|
| Personnel (Safety) | No Injury | First aid or slight health effect. No disability or lost time recordable. | Lost time recordable or reversible health effect. No disability. | Lost time, permanent disability, severe injury, or loss of life. |
| Public or Environmental | No Effect | Minimal exposure. Release does not cross fence line. Source eliminated. Negligible financial consequences. | Visible/indicated flaring event. Public exposed to hazards. Medical aid or damage claims. Environmental contamination causing non-permanent damage. | Uncontained release of materials with major environmental and 3 rd party impact. Public exposed to life threatening hazards, disruption of services, property damage. Extensive clean up. |
| Repair Cost and/or Downtime | No Loss | < \$10k or ~1 hour lost production | \$10k-\$100k or ~½ day lost production | Cost > \$100k or ~1 day lost production |

AOA Determination – Mean Time to Respond Matrix



| MTR | Responder Initial Location | | |
|-------------|----------------------------|----------|----------|
| | Control Center | Field | Callout |
| < 1 min | Class A | Redesign | Redesign |
| 1 – 5 min | Class B | Redesign | Redesign |
| 5 – 15 min | Class C | Class A | Redesign |
| 15 – 30 min | Class C | Class B | Redesign |
| 30 – 60 min | Class D | Class B | Class A |
| 1 – 2 hours | No Alarm | Class C | Class B |
| 2 – 4 hours | No Alarm | Class D | Class C |
| > 4 hours | No Alarm | No Alarm | Class D |

AOA Determination – Severity = Consequence x Mean Time to Respond



| MTR Class | No Consequence / Incidental | Minor / Moderate | Major | Severe |
|------------------|--|-------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| D | No Alarm | No Alarm | No Alarm | Low |
| C | No Alarm | Low | Low | Medium |
| B | No Alarm | Low | Medium | Medium |
| A | No Alarm | Medium | High | High |